<u>REMARKS</u>

In the subject Office Action, the examiner indicated that Applicants' previous response (Paper No. 4), though entered, was non-responsive because the claims presented therein were directed to a non-elected invention, and the text of canceled claims was included. Applicants request reconsideration of their application in view of this response, which amends Claim 1 and removes the text of all canceled claims.

Claim 1 has been amended to include the previously omitted limitation of "identifying and monitoring ... at least a frequency or period of said perturbation".

Amended Claim 1 recites the step of "identifying and monitoring a characteristic of said perturbation following a collision of said vehicle, said characteristic including at least a frequency or period of said perturbation".

Claims 1-17, 22 and 34 are believed to be patentable over the prior art of record for the reasons presented in the Applicants' previous response (Paper No. 4).

Accordingly, allowance of Claims 1-17, 22 and 34 is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Mark A. Navarre, Attorney Registration No. 29572

Telephone: (937) 653-3501